

Review

Geopolymers as sustainable alternates for portland cement in constructive concrete: systematic review

Rania Emara ¹, Sadia Bano ², Summayya Batool ³, Javeria Shoukat ⁴, Izaz ul Islam 5,*, Muhammad Ayaz Ashraf ⁶, Muhammad Altaf⁷, Aqsa Iqbal⁴, Ajmal Shah⁵, Abdullah Ayaz⁵, Salam N.Hattawi⁸, Ahmed. G. Ahmed⁹, Firas M. Fadhil⁹, Howida Abouel Fetouh^{1,*}

¹ Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.

² Chemistry Department, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan.

³ Chemistry Department, Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad, Pakistan.

⁴ Chemistry Department, University of Okara, Pakistan.

⁵ Chemistry Department, Abdul Wali khan University Mardan, 23200 Mardan, KP, Pakistan.

⁶ Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Basic Science, Faculty of Science, International Islamic University Islamabad, Pakistan.

⁷ Chemitsry Department, Center of Excellence in Materials Science and Technology, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand.

⁸ Northern technical university, college of health and medical techniquies, Department of renal diaylsis techniquies, Kirkuk, Iraq.

⁹ University of Kirkuk, College of education for pure science-chemistry department, Kirkuk, Iraq.

**Correspondence Address:*

Izaz ul Islam: Chemistry Department, Abdul Wali khan University Mardan, 23200 Mardan, KP, Pakistan, E.mail address: izazumislam@gmail.com.

Howida Abouel Fetouh: Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt, E.mail address: howida_fetouh@alexu.edu.eg.

KEYWORDS: Aluminosilicate, raw materials, wastes, management, sustainable.

1. INTRODCTION

Construction industry controls economy. Production portland cement release hazardous pollutants specifically $CO₂$ (g) into environment. Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) production is

 $2nd$ source of CO₂ global emission causing global warming [1], 12% CO₂in 2020 [2]. Using 2.0 tons raw materials to produce 1.0 ton cement release 1.0 ton $CO₂$ and NO gases [3].

Alexandria Journal of Science and Technology, 2024**, 2**(2), 90–103 Online ISSN: 2974-3273 Journal Article © 2023 by [\(AJST\)](https://ajst.journals.ekb.eg/?_action=press&issue=-1&_is=Articles%20in%20Press) is licensed under [CC BY-NC 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/?ref=chooser-v1)

90

For every 1000 kg cement produced, 110 kWh electricity consumed and 800 kg CO_2 released [4]. Recent urbanization in developing countries has further increased demand and cement utilization, consequently environmental sustainability has been compromised [5]. Because global cement demand is expected to increase by 2.5 times by 2050, production of sustainable building materials is a must [6] to minimize environmental pollution caused by traditional concrete. Due to environmental impact of OPC, recent studies have concentrated on production an eco-friendly geopolymer cement (GPC) [7]. GPC is sustainable $[8]$, during its production, $CO₂$ emissions decreased by up to 90% than OPC depending upon precursor. FA-based GP produces as little as 90 kg $CO₂$ per ton cement and maximum limit $250 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ ton}^{-1}$. Thus, 70% to 80% less emissions GPC than OPC [9]. Raw materials (silica-alumina) required for GP are available and cheaper than OPC which uses untarnished raw materials Ca(di-, tri-silicates and aluminates). However, costs of alkali-activator are high. Optimizing alkali concentration could render GPC production is economically supported for management of industrial wastes [10].

1.1. What is geopolymer?

Davidovits discovered low cost GP in 1970 during as fireproof

materials and green alternative for OPC. Inorganic polymer alkali-activated aluminosilicate [11]. GPs produced by exploitation industrial wastes such as FA, and slag instead of improper disposal harming environment [12]. Durable resist (water absorption, leaching by sulfates and acids), better mechanical strength, GPC Portland Concrete Cement (PCC) substitutes [13]. Compressive strength and durability enhanced by nanoparticles (NPs) such as $TiO₂$. GPC is $3rd$ generation cement [14], 3D polymer, empirical formula ${M_n[-(SiO_2)]_z}$ - $AIO₂$]_n.wH₂O}: where n is polymerization degree, w is water content and M is alkali metal cation. Initial dissolution of aluminosilicate in strong alkaline aqueous solution giving Si, Al oxide. Then, a mixture silicate, aluminate, and aluminosilicate species is formed, Si-O-Si bonds broken and aluminosilicate oxide gel formed (precursor of polycondensation involved elimination water molecule, Al(III) ions incorporated into silicate backbone (6 or 4 folds coordination) [15] [16]. Geo-polymerization is sensitive [8] and yield controlled by chemical composition of binder (high molecular weight polymer resin) and alkali [17]. Geopolymerization process can diagrammatically as shown in (**Figure. 1**).

Figure 1. The diagrammatic of geo-polymerization.

Si-O-Si bonds cleavage occurs in the sol-gel polymerization that involved conversion of aluminosilicate into a colloidal *sol* acts as the precursor for an integrated gel polymeric network.

Efficiency of GPC depends on alkaline liquid solution and aluminosilicates resources. Mechanical and physical properties like thermal stability and compressive strength influenced by

alkali concentration. Example, low viscous GP produced by reacting kaolinite clay material with a suitable amount of aluminum oxide (AI_2O_3) . Similarly, a convenient calcination temperature of Kaolinite was determined. Optimum alkali concentration maximized mechanical properties [18]. KOH or NaOH and silicates $(K_2SiO_3$ or Na₂SiO₃) are common alkali [19]. Structural reliability enhanced by recycled fibers additives [20].

1.2. Classification of geopolymers

Based on monomer type into: poly (siliates, sialate-siloxo (-Si-O-Al-O-)ⁿ or silico-aluminates and polysialate-disiloxo (-Si-O-Al-O-Si-O-). Alkali-activated GPs were classified by Davidovits [16] [8]. Aluminosilicates chains consist of specific Si: Al ratio depicted in (**Figure. 2**). Classification into conventional two parts liquid-activated and modern one-part powder-activated GPs using liquid-, and powder alkali respectively.

Concentrated aqueous alkali solutions and water added into solid aluminosilicates. In one-part GP, solid alkali is blended with aluminosilicates and calcined if necessary. Reaction is initiated by little water to minimize corrosion [21]. One-part GP comprise Na aluminate, Na silicate, Ca(OH)2, Na2O, LiOH, K2CO3, Na2SO4, Na2CO3, and Na silicate.5H2O and their different combinations have been created [22]. FA, slag or a mixture of two are the common precursors due to accentuated pozzolanic activity (slow reaction involves dissolution of all GP constituents yielding 3D network matrix). If some non-fired starting aluminosilicates, pretreatment activates since theses inert reactants such as natural minerals (Example: shales, clays, feldspars, plagioclase, quartz, amphibole) and industrial wastes (red mud and mine tailings, etc.) [23].

Classification and Applications

$Si:Al=1:1$ $Si-Al = 2:1$ (crystalline polysiliates) (polysiliate disiloxo) **Bricks** Management of radioactive and **Ceramics** toxic wastes Fire protection Cement and concrete $Si:Al = 3:1$ Fire protection $Si:Al>3$ Si:Al=20-35 **Tiles and ceramics Toolinffor aeronautics Heat and fire resistance Tooling for aeronautics** composites Sealants for industry **Fiberglass composite** Management of radioactive and toxic wastes Porosity Thermal conductivity **Influenced characteristics** Vapor permeablity Mechanical properties (comprssive strength and flexural strength) Chemical stablity

Figure 2. Ratio of Si to Al in different types of aluminosilicates.

Despite liquid-activated GP is eco-friendly having excellent physical and mechanical properties. Challenges in mixing and handling alkali solution affects both safety and economic costs, small change in alkali concentration change geopolymerization degree. One-part GP is safe [25]. This solid phase formulation of GP to avoid risks of contact with very high concentrations alkali such as NaOH that cause severe burns to eyes, skin, gastrointestinal tract or lungs causing permanent damage and death; dermatitis on prolonged or repeated skin contact and permanent lung damage on repeated inhalation NaOH.

1.3. Classification based on raw materials

Different GPs of variable properties depicted in Table 1. Based on precursors, GP categorized into metakaolin-based GP cements (MGCs), FA-based GP cements (FAGCs), natural minerals-based GP cements (NGCs), and hybrid GP cements (HGCs). Metakoaline (MK) is not an industrial byproduct but produced from kaolinite minerals sources under specific calcination conditions. Pozzolanic properties and less energy consumption is required for production MK-based GPC making it more eco-friendly [26]. Rock-based GP discussed by Davidovits are precursors for one-part GP[27] and comprised of high kaolinite content [9]. Industrial by-products blast furnace slag and FA are primary aluminosilicates source. Slag Based Geopolymer (SGPC) has a stronger cross-linked aluminosilicate structure than FAGPC. Cross linking improved higher durability and strength.

Cross linker (such as radiation, silane, peroxide (favored)) possessed react reactive functional groups (FGs) binding FGs of the polymer chains yielding intercalated 3D network polymer chains. For an example, include grafting by adding initiator benzoyl peroxide, cross linking proceeds *via* free radical mechanism. Crosslinking improved chemical, thermal, shrinkage, stress cracking resistance.

FA shortcomings are: Annealing developed primary strength [28]. Mechanical and physical properties of FAGPC affected by impurities in FA. Pure raw materials, such as pumice-type natural pozzolana, natural zeolite, volcanic ash, feldspar, quartz, biotite, and mining wastes are used in synthesis of NGCs containing higher Si content in comparison to FA and metakaolin [26]. Hybrid GP cement is synthesized by compounding GP with OPC or other binders to enhance physical and mechanical properties [26] [29]. Compressive strength increased from 11.4 MPa to 33.4 MPa in 28 d *by* incorporated 10%OPC in GP composites [30]. Rice husk ash functional filler improved strength and permeability [26].

Table 1:Types of natural binder for GP concrete

2. General synthesis methods

2.1. Heat Curing Method

In 1970, Joseph Davidovits synthesized GP from finely divided silicaceous materials or salicaceous and aluminous materials e.g. FA, etc. are reactants for endothermic reaction. Annealing enhanced strength and properties. Heat curing form gentle thermal gradients inside material enhanced geo-polymerization that is slow and incomplete through conventional heating. Curing at $50-80^{\circ}$ C, 24 h by hot air oven allow heat transfer from outer surface GP to inside surfaces by thermal convection. Curing include [31]: (self, room temperature, hot weather, microwave radiation [32, 33], steam [34] solar [35], hot and advanced cold press) curing. Curing conditions impart different strengths and characteristics to GP [36]. Curing is important for OPC to gain strength and enhance geopolymerization process. OPC undergoes water curing whereas (steam, heat, and ambient) curing are applied for GP concrete. Heat Curing speed up geo-polymerization which is comparatively slow at room temperature. Mechanical and compressive strength are improved *via* heat curing than ambient curing [37]. Curing temperatures and time affect microstructure

and strength [38]. Optimum conditions $60-90^{\circ}C$, 24 h complete geo-polymerization. Above optimum range decrease compressive strengths and weaken microstructure. Superior Microwave causes uniform rapid heating of sample [39]. Increased compressive strengths, i.e. 70 Mpa, 77 Mpa, 90 Mpa larger than (4 Mpa, 58 Mpa, 66 Mpa) for oven heat curing [37]. The best curing method is microwave curing. Microwave (frequency range 300MHz-300 GHz) is an electromagnetic radiation consists of oscillates perpendicular magnetic and electric fields. Penetration of microwave into certain GP with the polar components generate heat affect molecules (of dielectric materials) by ionic conduction and dipole rotation. Ionic conduction is exothermic process generates heat due to the ions flow resistance in the medium. Also during dipole rotation, ions continue changing directions along with field charge creating molecular collisions and heat generation. Microwave curing *(via* increased temperature and pressure) is a faster curing technique gave better quality GP at short curing time (few min.) relative to 20 h time consuming for room temperature [39].

There are three steps involved in the microwave-assisted extraction process. First, the solute is separated from the active site of sample matrix under increased pressure and temperature; second, the solvent is diffused across sample matrix; and third, solutes get released from sample matrix to solvent [196]. MAE is a faster operational technique through which the efficiency of extraction of high-value bioactive compounds is enhanced. The various advantages include faster heating of the material, better extraction yield, better quality of extracts, reduced time required for extraction and reduced solvent quantity as compared to conventional extraction methods and also restricts the degradation of thermolabile compounds. Green tea leaves were used for the extraction of polyphenols and caffeine. It was reported that MAE has a higher extraction yield at 4 min than by other methods of extraction for 20 h at room temperature [197]. **2.2. Mix Design Methods**

For developing conventional concrete [40], rational practice code is also available [41]. For GPC replacement to OPC variables affect synthesis and properties of GP. For example type of curing and curing conditions, type and source of raw materials, $\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3/\text{NaOH}$ and $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio, alkali to binder ratio [41] and type and alkali dose. Therefore no proper design and guidelines have been reported for GPs synthesis until now. Design universal mix design method for GPC is developed [37] [42] $[43]$. Mix designs for FA-based GPC $[42]$ $[44]$ as well as conventional GPC are based on trial and error methods [43]. These methods are based mainly on mix design method available for conventional concrete that is mainly dependent on water to cement (*w/c*) ratio and physical and mechanical properties control performance [37] like mechanical and compressive strength, quantity of source material, time duration, and alkali concentration. Taguchi approach method [41], particle packing fraction method and response surface methodology optimized mix design of GPs [37]. Three mix design methods have been reported for GPC mixed with FA and slag namely target [strength](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/materials-science/mechanical-strength) method, performance-based method, and statistical factorial model method [43]. Target strength method includes fixed water content method, fixed binder strength method, and fixed paste content method. Whereas mixture design method based on factorial models is further divided into Taguchi methods and multivariate regression models [43].

Desirable mechanical strength [42] [43] and costs [41] can be obtained *via* develop suitable mix designs for GPC commercialization [42] [43]. Proper mix designs can even produce good mechanical strengths in GPC even at ambient curing conditions. In mix design method, alkaline to binder ratio, FA to GGBS ratio, type, and curing time are focused [41]. Limitations as sometimes alkali to binder ratio is not included and precursor composition ignored as the biggest hindrance factor in developing suitable universal mix design [37]. The required proportion of mixes for producing GPC of desired compressive strength predicted accurately by employing machine learning however neglecting some variables limits applications [37].

2.3. Electromagnetic field curing

Electromagnetic (EM) induction accelerates curing [45]. Sensitivity of nonconductive GP increased by susceptor additives inherent to EM induction. Sensitive conductive materials are Al and Si [46]. Heat production and transfer [47] as changing magnetic field altering current in induction media, conductive part heated and heat transfer by conduction. Bonded components exposed to electromagnetic field (EMF) kHz or MHz frequency range [46]. Heat is produced in heat-sensitive components like Al or susceptors and other conductive mixed materials GP like fibers or additives particles. Careful monitoring of temperature program avoid rapid overheating [45]. Curing accelerates geopolymerization by accelerates dissolution Si, Al atoms from FA surface and heats all sample sections evenly due to heat induction. Less water evaporation as compared to classical heat curing. Moisture accelerated polymerization. Since about 24 to 48 h are required for heat transfer to GP core from surface in heat curing. Temperatures 55°C to 65°C reached in 15 min. EMF curing method is more (economical and sustainable in terms of energy consumption and duration time) than other curing methods. Compressive and flexural strengths 76.8 MPa and 11.3 MPa achieved after 28 d curing. Ferromagnetic Fe powder used for heat generation. Compressive and flexural strengths improved (76.8 MPa and 11.3 MPa respectively) than heat curing. EMF conserves about 99.70% energy compared to conventional heat curing[36].

3. Properties

Room temperature setting, nontoxicity, bleed-freeness, and a protracted working life before stiffening, impermeability, high resistance to heat and inorganic solvents increased compressive strength. GPC has higher Early strength and compressive strength than Portland cement concrete (PCC). For same mix, compressive strength of GPC is approximately 1.5 folds greater than PCC. GPC demonstrated good workability similar to PCC [48]. GPs have unlimited applications, from straightforward, low-cost materials to sophisticated compounds for specialized uses. Si/Al atomic ratio 1-3 for cheap products (metakaolin, blast furnace slag, FA, and among others). Atomic ratio up to 35 for synthesis more complex materials [49, 50]. (**Figure. 3)** describes different types of materials according to Si/Al ratio, application area, and technical intervention [49, 51].

Fire retardants additives to GP included $Mg(OH)_2$, Al_2O_3 (alumina), red phosphorus, ammonium polyphosphate.

3.1. Mechanical properties

3.1.1 Compressive strength of geopolymer cement

Influenced by nano fillers and grinding raw materials [52, 53]. Comparing compressive strengths of GP and PC cement paste after 3 d at various temperatures, (**Figure. 4a**). GP cement paste's compressive strength are much higher. At 1000°C, 2.0 h, shears of GP specimens used to estimate their thermal stability. GP shrank even throughout thermal exposures, (**Figure. 4b**) [54].

3.1.2. Split tensile strength and flexural strength of concrete Split tensile strength (TS) and flexural strength (FS) are crucial mechanical characteristics. Fractures initiation and growth in concrete structures, shearing and anchoring of bars, and other phenomena are all related to split TS. Flexural strength measures resistance to bending and breaking [55]. Fang *et al*. [56] reported slag content affected split TS and FS FA-based GPC. (**Figure. 5**) showed GPC's split TS and FS increased with a higher proportion of ground-granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBFS). Increased activity of GGBFS, promoted production C-A-S-H gel and N-A-S-H gel and accelerated geopolymerization [57].

Figure 3. Properties and applications of GPs (Adapted with permission from Ref. [50], Copyright 2022, and Elsevier).

Figure 5. Split tensile strength and flexural strength of GPC concerning the slag content at 28 d. (Adapted with permission from Ref. [56], Copyright 2018, and Elsevier)*.*

3.1.3. Bond properties

Strength of bonding interaction *via* chemical adhesion, friction, and mechanical occlusion of concrete to bars determines bearing capacity and performance of reinforced concrete [58-60]. Pulling out of bar or cracking concrete cover causing failure. When a pull-out attempt fails, bar pushed out while concrete between ribs is crushed. Cracks growth along specimen's longitudinal axis occurs, causes splitting failure. Diameter of steel bar, concrete cover's confinement, and transverse reinforcements affect failure. Pull-out failure happens when confinement is satisfactory. In instance that it is not, splitting failure occurs. Prior knowledge bond stress versus slip relation enabled simulation bonding behavior [60]. Numerous variables affect bond strength and characteristics of GPC, (**Figure. 6**). Bond stress to free-end slide broken as linear bond stress-slip, nonlinear then maximum bonding and longitudinal fissures [55].

Figure 6. Vaeiation of bond stress versus slip (Adapted with permission from Ref. [56],Copyright 2018, Elsevier).

3.1.4. Hardened density

Weighing mass and measurements of cube specimens per mix enabled determination hardened density of one-part GP paste. Initially, 2 cubes per mix were measured, but if there was a more than 5 percentage variation in densities, $3rd$ cube was utilized. When one-part GP pastes mature, hardened densities gradually decrease on aging due to a rather slow geopolymerization reaction. Drop linked to dehydration during reaction by water evaporation. Faster response observed at beginning phase [61] in contrary to the finding in reference [62]. Densities of various mixtures shown in (**Figure. 7**) are nearly the same demonstrating that alkali content has little or no effect [61].

Copyright 2019, Elsevier).

3.1.5. Fracture behavior of geopolymer concrete

Influenced by material characteristics including aggregate type and mixing composition. To ensure GPs as constructions materials, fracture behaviors are important, properties thoroughly investigated before application as cement substitutes [63]. Early flexural strength of GPC influenced by OPC content, water to solid ratio, Na silicate modulus, and alkali dose [64]. Components, (**Figure. 8**) demonstrates variation flexural strength and compressive strength are comparable.

Figure 8. Relationship between flexural strength and mix factors for GFRP powder/FA-based GP pastes. (Adapted with permission from Ref. [66],Copyright 2022, Elsevier).

At 3, 7, and 28 d, GPs' highest ratios of compressive strength to flexural strength (RCF) were 3.89, 3.63, and 5.52, respectively. At long curing time, RCF grow indicating brittleness. Greater RCF values suggest more fragile. In comparison to glass fiber reinforced polymer (GFRP) powder/FA-based GPs, the greatest RCF values of FA/steel slag (FA/SS)-based GPs were 6.77, 6.92, and 7.46, respectively [65]. Resin in GFRP powder increase GP brittleness. GP early flexural strength evolved faster than their early compressive strength [66].

3.2. Durability

Service life of structural building and bridges is influenced by concrete's durability that lowers concrete spalling by chemicals and inhibited corrosion of embedded reinforcing steel [67]. Durability increased corrosion resistance by (acids, carbonates, sulfides, chlorides, and high temperatures [50]) and resistance to acid assault, freeze-thaw cycles and thermal degradation. Freeze-thaw (ice-liquid water equilibrium) cycles impacts on concrete corrosion, decreased mechanical strength. Exothermic heat flow create differential corrosion temperature for steel [67].

3.2.1. Resistance to acid attack

Reaction of Ca compounds with acid solution damages cement binder system by creating tensile stress cracking. FA/GGBFSbased GPC exceptionally resist acids [55]. (**Figure. 9**) demonstrated 2% H2SO⁴ solution affected stability of FAGPC and OPCC over 90 d. Sample's appearance demonstrated that GPC, contains 20% SiO₂ fume showed degradation or corrosion. PCC, however, showed edge breaking and corrosion. PCC sample underwent the most weight loss and compressive strength reduction [68]. OPCC suffered edge breaking, corrosion, and weak compressive strength.

Figure 9. Visual appearance of four blocks of concrete samples exposed to 2% H₂SO₄ for 90 d:a) FAGPC and b) OPCC (Adapted with permission from Ref. [68],Copyright 2017, Elsevier).

3.2.2. Resistance to freeze-thaw cycles

Performance of GPC is impacted by damage from freezing, endangering strength and security of GPC structures [55]. Systematic evaluations of fundamental qualities of GP mortars have been conducted. However, there hasn't been much system study done on freeze-thaw resistance. In northern China, particularly in chilly northeast and northwest, freeze-thaw cycle is the common cause of concrete degradation. For preparation GP mortar samples, water to class C FA ratios of

0.35 and 0.40, and 45% and 100% Cano with various compositions were used. Until mass loss exceeded 5% or relative dynamic elastic modulus fell below 60%, specimens were frozen and thawed repeatedly [69]. Both concrete specimens continuously lose mass on increasing number of freeze-thaw cycles. However, conventional concrete specimens exhibit greater stability under freezing-thawing conditions. GPC had a larger mass loss than typical concrete specimens [70].

3.2.3. Resistance to thermal degradation

The best attainable shrinkage for GPC 17% at 1000°C decreased to 12%% by alumina and quartz [71]. GP has 3D zeolite-like network structure destroyed at higher temperature than that of cemented cement. GPC resist high-temperature and tolerate 800-1000°C. According to (**Figure. 10**), FA-based GPCs had a brownish-gray color after curing. On exposure to heat, color began to "redden" (after firing). Iron (Fe) species confined inside FA particles oxidized during prolonged heating. When compared to identical FA-based GPC derived from a separate source with $4.1wt\%$ Fe₂O₃, degree of "reddening" of GPC with 16.4 wt. % $Fe₂O₃$ is proportional to Fe content. Structural changes post firing, macro cracks above over 600°C, Figure 10 [72].

Figure 10. *Photographs of GPCs fired to different temperatures. (Adapted with permission from Ref. [72],Copyright 2015, Elsevier).*

4. Factors affecting geopolymers properties

.

In (**Figure. 11)**, these factors including chemical composition, alkali molarity, curing conditions, residual-, tensile strength, and surrounding environment. Concentrations Nano-SiO₂ affects compressive strength, microstructure, setting time, shear bond strength, and durability.

Figure 11. *Factors affecting properties of Geopolymer Concrete*

4.1. Factors affecting mix properties

Geopolymers have poor strength because geopolymerization conducting at ambient temperature [73]. High-temperature curing followed by GP molding formulated concrete that limited to prefabricated components [74]. Specific volcanic ash behavior and nano fillers such as $SiO₂$. Fe₃O₄ and Al₂O₃ enhanced mechanical characteristics and microstructure of GP composites [75]. Nanofillers boost functionality of GP cement. Zhou *et al* [\[76\]](#page-12-0) reported optimum content $SiO₂$ NPs to sand increases compressive strength, dynamic elastic modulus, and durability, while also decreasing its shrinkage and micro cracks on aging. $SiO₂$ influence cement mortar strength, cement slurry mobility, dynamic elastic modulus, concrete strength, and effectiveness of permeability was analyzed by Zhuang and Chen [77]. Impact of cement hydration products grew steadily in proportion to amount nano-SiO₂ additive. Incorporating $SiO₂$ into concrete drastically alter rheology (ASTM D3835). Nanosilica has quantum dot particle size improved: concrete's setting time, collapse, shrinkage (melt apparent viscosity made GP materials exhibiting non-Newtonian melt behavior) [78], mechanical characteristics, and durability[76].

4.1.1. Influence of mixed design

Despite significant differences in binder type and reaction kinetics, impacts of paste volume compared to total aggregate volume and fine-to-coarse combined volume ratio on compressive strength of GP concrete are similar to Ordinary Portland Concrete Cement (OPCC) [79]. Increases in paste volume to a modest level improve aggregate packing, which boosts compressive strength at low to moderate strength grade typical of AAC. For a paste-to-binder ratio below 0.4, range of which is equivalent to described for OPCCs [\[80\]](#page-12-1), and ignoring long-term effects of AAC binder on aggregates in (e.g. alkaline-silica reaction). More fine aggregate yield uniform matrix with better mechanical characteristics [81].

4.1.2. Influence of curing of geopolymer pastes

Curing atmosphere and annealing enhance geo-polymerization. The common curing methods use conventional heat and microwaves. In Heat curing, specimen not be overheated during curing. Internal temperature may increase significantly boiling point of water on heating to 90°C) Developed because of internal residual tensions, even though original silicate solution has a far higher boiling point than water. Mechanical strength is greatly diminished [82, 83]. Micro-cracks result from curing at low temperature (75°C) for longer periods (72 h). Microwave curing improved compressive strength [84]. Electromagnetic wave (frequency up to 300 GHz) penetrated cement paste interact with polar components generate heat affect molecules by ionic conduction and dipole rotation (ions continue changing direction along with field charge, molecular collisions generating heat. Microwave curing used for curing under precautions. Maximum penetration depth is few centimeters (depending on constant wavelength) as shown in (**Figure. 12**).

Figure 12. *Curing time and compressive strength of geopolymer paste in an oven and a microwave. (Adapted with permission from Ref. [84], Copyright 2018, Elsevier).*

The best compressive strength (90 M Pa) was attained at the longest time of curing (90 min.) in microwave curing. The optimum curing time (compressive strength (77 M Pa) is 50 min. .

Solar curing is an innovative, eco-friendly alternative to traditional normal curing (oven or ambient conditions. Structures with lots of exposed surface area simply treated. Sun energy affect strength of GP mortars, samples coated with solar curing black (SCB) and 40% black (grey SCG) [85]. Hotpressing technique is good alternative, annealing at a constant 350°C under applied pressure improved compressive strength. Density increases by compressing out trapped air holes [\[86\]](#page-12-2). Because water is essential to alkaline activation and curing regime humidity influence structural and mechanical characteristics FA GP pastes, mortars, and concretes. Water throughout GP curing phase is critical and temperature accelerates curing. Rapid geo-polymerization produced by heat curing rapidly attain satisfactory strength [87]. Curing at 400 to 500° C for 4 to 48 h was described by J. Temuujin *et al.* [88], Figure 4 was a key prerequisite for GPs synthesis. Hardjito *et al.* [89] noted that enhancing curing temperature does not automatically result in a greater final product compressive strength. Curing temperatures affect mechanical and chemical characteristics [90].

4.2. Influence of admixture and other additives

Electrostatic repulsion between particles in super plasticizer (SP) dispersion agent much as it does in OPC-based concrete, making mixture easier to work with by particles dispersion [91]. With "combined admixtures" (i.e. PC with whichever sucrose or borax), the compatibility of PC was higher with sucrose than borax. Compared to PC and borax paste, PC and sucrose paste displayed greater compressive strength, more workability, and longer setting time. Compressive strength decrease up to 26% when paste was made with "mixed admixtures. Possible explanations include the retarder and SP's combined slowing effects. Paste made with "combined admixtures" was up to 32% less workable than paste made with only PC due to competitive absorption with sucrose or borax. Inclusion sucrose or borax inhibited PC absorption, hence decreasing paste's fluidity. There was 18% increase in 1st setting time of paste containing "mixed admixtures" compared to paste having only sucrose or borax retarder. PC had a fluidizing impact and/or because PC contained more water than usual, both of which inhibited setting [92]**.**

4.2.1. Influence of super-plasticizer (SP)

Since high viscosity of GP concrete mix reduces workability, SP (examples are trimellitate, adipate, and phthalate esters (the most common SP.).An adequate amount improve flowability and fluidity*.* SP enhance GP flexibility and workability, decrease elastic modulus of binder melt and enhance processing and flow (modify properties of natural polymers) and develop a new property to native binder.

External SP physically interacts with polymer chains have a low volatility and lost by migration, evaporation or extraction. Internal SP are main part GP matrix (one component of GP, or reacted or copolymerized with binder. Bulky structures provide polymers to move around due to space provided from crowded structure to keep GPs from getting enclose and softening (decreasing glass transition temperature (Tg), so elastic modulus reduced. SP during ambient curing improved workability with slight decrease in strength, Jithendra and Elavenil [93]. Table 2 demonstrates according to Memon *et al.*, SP makes concrete it easier to work and increases compressive strength. Up to 5% SP is insufficient for achieving desired fluidity level [\[94\]](#page-13-0). Workability achieved with both 6% and 7% SP (highest compressive strength with 7% SP across all ages tested). Demie *et al.* provided a similar idea concerning SP dosage. Increasing SP dose increased compressive strength of GC and gave superior microstructure by decreasing thickness of Interfacial Transition Zone (ITZ) [95]. To compare fresh and hardened characteristics, Sashidhar *et al.* used 3% SP and 25% water addition [96]. While researching malleability and durability of SCGC, Ushaa *et al.* used 6% SP and a water-topowder ratio of 0.33 in their experiments [97]. Strength and microstructural and workability properties improved by SP. In addition to SP age, more water is needed to keep GC workable. When it comes to SP dose of less than 5% is inadequate for appropriate workability. Adding 7% SP to GC mix increases final products and enhanced compounding. To achieve the best ambient curing GPC, 6% SP and 12 M NaOH are recommended [98].

Super plasticizer	Remarks	Reference
$2\% - 6\%$	Maximum strength and workability at 6% SP	[93]
$3\% - 7\%$	7% SP enhanced outcome	[99]
$3\% - 7\%$	At 7% SP, microstructure and strength maximized	[100]
3%	Ideal workability at 12 M NaOH.	[96]
6%	6% SP mandatory for fluidity	1971

Table 2:Reported studies on superplasticizers

4.2.2. Influence of nano and micro silica fume

Accumulation silica fume improves rheology of liquid activated GP [101]. Ultra high compressive strength values (>150 MPa) achieved after 28 d or even after 2 d for a mortar with maximum aggregate size of 2 mm cured at 60°C, 24 h [102]. Water to binder ratio reduced to 0.25 or even lower to accomplish this [86]. GPC is limited in application since it requires heat curing. These problems solved by adding optimum quantity of silica NPs to the activated mixture [103]. Adding 6 % silica improving concrete's structural behavior at several ages without heating activation [86,104]. Silica $(SiO₂)$ nanoparticles (NPs) catalyzed sol gel reaction increasing adhesion cement slurry to steel in concrete. $SiO₂NPs$ efficiently increased mechanical strength than properties $SiO₂$ powder of concrete. Small weight percent SiO2NPs keep porosity cement slurry unaffected. $SiO₂NPs$ elevated resistance of steel in concrete against stress corrosion cracking and water. Silica is nanofiller decease blustering of GP, increasing softening temperature. Although temperatures of glass transition and decomposition may decreased by increasing silica wt. % but heat of decomposition and weight loss data reflect thermal stability.

4.2.3. Influence of limestone filler

Limestone $(CaCO₃)$ is setting retarder in pastes enhanced setting performance and reductions in efflorescence of hardened pastes achieved by high NaOH concentration and limestone blends. Percentage $CaCO₃$ in mixture affect shrinkage degree that is more pronounced at 5.0M NaOH rather than 8.0M or 10.0M NaOH solution. Samples shrink by less than 1% ; as proportion $CaCO₃$ powder in pastes rise, water absorption fell, and vice versa, up to 45% mix. While density rise [105]. Mixtures of 45% CaCO₃ powder with maximum activation temperature replace thermally activated halloysite based GP, improving mechanical strength through densification of matrix and improved particles packing [106]. Calcium carbonate, notably increases modulus, and flexural strength, but decreases the thermal expansion coefficient (TEC). The challenge is to convert these benefits into marketable commercial products. GPC containing CaCO₃ aggregate has lower TEC than GPC concrete containing silica aggregate [106]. Using $CaCO₃$ in small micron particle size improve TEC of GPC [49].

In summary, geopolymerization can be represented by equation 1[107]:

Aluminosilicate + conc. NaOH
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 SiO₂ + A₁₂O₃ (1)

This reaction followed by the formation of a mixture silicate, aluminate, and aluminosilicate species is formed, Si-O-Si bonds cleavage +aluminosilicate oxide gel formed (precursor of polycondensation with elimination H2O molecule).

Chemical structure of aluminosilicate contains Al-O, Al-OH octahedral (Oh) sheets sandwiched by 2 Si-O tetrahedral (Td) sheets. Layers in 2:1 triple sheets bounded by weak Van der Waals forces. Layer (thickness 1.0 nm and lateral layers dimensions 100-1000 nm. Swelling by hydration of interlayer cation enable intercalation with positively charged biomolecules. Si⁺⁴ and Al⁺³cations in tetrahedral sheet. On substitution of Si^{+4} ion in Td and/or Oh sheets by Al^{+3} , layer framework become negatively containing exchangeable

Conclusion

This review enabled developing test application ecofriendly low cost GP in concrete with considering challenge alkali costs. Production not cause climate changes due to low $CO_{2(g)}$ emissions. Alkaline activation of raw minerals materials enabled commercial GP. GP cements with good mechanical and durability produced from various aluminosilicates and alkali solutions with optimizing curing temperature, alkali concentrations, additives, $Na₂O/SiO₂$ ratio, etc. GPC are safe construction material. Curing enhanced mechanical strength. Several mix design of FA and slag GPs optimized statistically. Fixed (H₂O content, binder strength, paste) methods. Mechanical strength, suitable proper universal mix designs of GP enabled commercialization. Application specified by Si/Al ratio. Mechanical strength of GPC exceeded that OPC. GP shrinking is much less at 1000°C. Concrete's split tensile such as fractures, shearing and bars anchoring. Flexural strength (bending and breaking resistance) increased by slag content that catalyzed gelation. Amount solid alkali slightly affect density change of aged GPC. Concrete's fracture influenced by aggregation and mixing composition. Properties investigation prior cementations eliminates fracture. Brittleness is due high glass fiber content. GP is durable, chemical& thermal stable and resists corrosion. Optimum Ca content mitigated acid cracking. SiO₂ fume inhibited erosion corrosion. Stability against icing required further studies. Resistance to shrinkage of GPC is more than OPC. High-temperature curing, molding, concrete kind limited prefabricated GPs components. Curing enhance geopolymerization, favored curing methods are hotpressing and microwave. Super plasticizer improved at 6%SP, 12M NaOH improved workability. maximized strength, workability and fluidity. Nano Silica improved rheology, improving structural behavior on aging without heat activation. This nanofiller randomly distribute without causing any voids in GP increasing the mechanical strength. The best curing methods of GP are: magnetic field (application of magnetic water fluids. Ions rapidly peetrated cement pores achieving complete hydration that improving hydraulic strength of GPC depending on the strength of the magnetic field. Radiation microwave curing (time-saving eddicient technology) improved compressive strengths.

References:

- [1] Van, O. E.; Juenger, M.; Liu, X; McDonald, M.Silicateactivated geopolymer alternatives to Portland cement for thermal well integrity. In SPE Thermal Integrity and Design Symposium. 2019..
- [2] Hassan, A.; M. Arif; M. Shariq. A review of properties and behaviour of reinforced geopolymer concrete structural elements-A clean technology option for sustainable development. J.Cleaner Prod.2020, 245, 118762.
- [3] Tang, Z.; Li, W.; Tam, V.W.; Luo, Z.Investigation on dynamic mechanical properties of fly ash/slag-based geopolymeric recycled aggregate concrete. Composites Part B: Eng.2020, 185, 107776.
- [4] Perez, O.F.A.; Florez, D.R.; Vergara, L.M.Z.; Benavides, K.V.H. Innovative use of agro-waste cane bagasse ash and waste glass as cement replacement for green concrete. Cost analysis and carbon dioxide emissions. J. Cleaner Prod.2020 , 379, 134822.
- [5] Almutairi, A.L.; Tayeh, B.A.; Adesina, A.; Isleem, H.F.; Zeyad, A.M. Potential applications of geopolymer concrete in Construct. A review. Case Studies in Construct. Mater.2021, 15, p.e00733..
- [6] Kretzer, M.B.; Effting, C.; Schwaab, S.; Schackow, A. Hybrid geopolymer-cement coating mortar optimized based on metakaolin, fly ash, and granulated blast furnace slag. Cleaner Eng. and Technol.2021, 4, 100153.
- [7] Shehata, N.; Mohamed, O.A.; Sayed, E.T.; Abdelkareem, M.A.; Olabi, A.G. Geopolymer concrete as green Build Mater.: Recent applications, sustainable development and circular economy potentials. Sci. of Total Env. 2022, 836, 155577.
- [8] Luhar, I.; Luhar, S. A. comprehensive review on fly ashbased geopolymer. J. Composites Sci.. 2022, 6(8), 219.
- [9] Okonkwo, V.; Onodagu, P.; Ubani, O. An evaluation of geopolymer cement in Construct. work.Am.J.Eng. Research (AJER). 2019. 8(4), 58-66.
- [10] Wu, D.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, K.; Xia, L. Experimental Investigation and Mechanism of Fly Ash/Slag-Based Geopolymer-Stabilized Soft Soil. Applied Sci.2022, 12(15),7438.
- [11] Saeli, M.; Novais, R.M.; Seabra, M.P.; Labrincha, J.A.Green geopolymeric concrete using grits for applications in Construct. Mater. Letters. 2018, 233, 94- 97.
- [12] Lim, Y.Y.; Pham, T.M. Influence of Portland cement on performance of fine rice husk ash geopolymer concrete: Strength and permeability properties. Construct. and Build Mater. 2021, 300, 124321.
- [13] Oyebisi, S.; Ede, A.; Olutoge, F.; Omole, D.Geopolymer concrete incorporating agro-industrial wastes: Effects on mechanical properties, microstructural behaviour and mineralogical phases. Construct. and Build Mater.2020, 256, 119390.
- [14] Franzoni, E. ; M.C. Bignozzi; E. Rambaldi. TiO2 in the Build sector, in Titanium Dioxide (Tio₂) and Its Applications. Elsevier.2021, 449-479.
- [15] Amran, M.; Al-Fakih, A.; Chu, S.H.; Fediuk, R.; Haruna, S.; Azevedo, A.; Vatin, N. Long-term durability properties of geopolymer concrete: An in-depth review, Case Studies in Construct. Mater. 2021, 15, p.e00661.
- [16] Srividya, T.; PR, K.R.;, Sivasakthi, M.; Sujitha, A.; Jeyalakshmi, R. A state-of-the-art on development of geopolymer concrete and its field applications. Case Studies in Construct. Mater. 2022. 16, p.e00812.
- [17] Ahmed, H.U.; Mohammed, A.A.; Mohammed, A.S. The role of nanoMater. in geopolymer concrete composites: A state-of-the-art review. J. Build Eng. 2022. 49, 104062.
- [18] Cheng, H.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, Q. Kaolinite nanoMater.: preparation, properties and functional applications. In NanoMater. from Clay Minerals.2019, 285-334. Elsevier.
- [19] Ahmed, H.U.; Mahmood, L.J.; Muhammad, M.A.; Faraj, R.H.; Qaidi, S.M.; Sor, N.H., Mohammed, A.S.; Mohammed, A.A. Geopolymer concrete as a cleaner Construct. material: An overview on Mater. and structural performances. Cleaner Mater. 2022, 100111.
- [20] Amran, M.; Huang, S.S.; Debbarma, S.; Rashid, R.S. Fire resistance of geopolymer concrete: A critical review. Construct. and Build Mater. 2022, 324, 126722.
- [21] Zhang, H.Y.; Liu, J.C.; Wu, B. Mechanical properties and reaction mechanism of one-part geopolymer mortars. Construct. and Build Mater.2021, 273, 121973.
- [22] Askarian, M.; Tao, Z.; Samali, B.; Adam, G.; Shuaibu, R. Mix composition and characterisation of one-part geopolymers with different activators.Construct. and Build Mater. 2019, 225, 526-537.
- [23] Askarian, M.; Tao, Z.; Samali, B.; Adam, G.; Shuaibu, R. Mix composition and characterisation of one-part geopolymers with different activators.Construct. and Build Mater. 2019, 225, 526-537.
- [24] Ma, C.; Long, G. ; Shi, Y.; Xie, Y. Preparation of cleaner one-part geopolymer by investigating different types of commercial sodium metasilicate in China.J.Cleaner Prod. 2018, 201, 636-647.
- [25] Refaat, M.; Mohsen, A.; Nasr, E.S.A.; Kohail, M. Minimizing energy consumption to produce safe one-part alkali-activated Mater.. J. Cleaner Prod. 2021, 323, 129137.
- [26] Sambucci, M.; A. Sibai; M. Valente.Recent advances in geopolymer technology. A potential eco-friendly solution in the Construct. Mater. industry: A review.J. Composites Sci.. 2021, 5(4),109.
- [27] Das, S.K.; Mishra, J.; Singh, S.K.; Mustakim, S.M.; Patel, A.; Das, S.K.; Behera, U. Characterization and utilization of rice husk ash (RHA) in fly ash-Blast furnace slag based geopolymer concrete for sustainable future. Mater. Today: Proc. 2020, 33, 5162-5167.
- [28] Chen, K.; Wu, D.; Chen, H.; Zhang, G.; Yao, R.; Pan, C.; Zhang, Z. Development of low-calcium fly ash-based geopolymer mortar using nanosilica and hybrid fibers. Ceram. Int. 2021, 47(15), 21791-21806.
- [29] Wijaya, M.F.; Olivia, M.; Wibisono, G.; Saputra, E.; Wang, S. Characteristics of geopolymer hybrid concrete in peat water In IOP Conference Series. Mater. Sci. and Eng. , IOP Publishing. 2019, 615, 012120.
- [30] Askarian, M.; Tao, Z ; Adam, G.; Samali, B. Mechanical properties of ambient cured one-part hybrid OPCgeopolymer concrete, Construct. and Build Mater.2018, 186, 330-337.
- [31] Nurruddin, M.F.; Sani, H.; Mohammed, B.S.; Shaaban, I. Methods of curing geopolymer concrete: A review. Int. J. Adv. and Applied Sci. 2018, 5(1), 31-36.
- [32] Graytee, A.; J.G. Sanjayan; A. Nazari. Development of a high strength fly ash-based geopolymer in short time by using microwave curing. Ceramics Int. 2018, 44(7), 8216-8222.
- [33] Kastiukas, G. ; Ruan, S.; Liang, S. ; Zhou, X.Development of precast geopolymer concrete via oven and microwave radiation curing with an environmental assessment. J.Cleaner Prod. 2020, 255, 120290.
- [34] Diab, M.A. Enhancing class F fly ash geopolymer concrete performance using lime and steam curing.J. Eng. and Applied Sci.2022, 69(1), 59-69.
- [35] Dong, M.; Feng, W.; Elchalakani, M.; Li,G.K.; Karrech, A.; May, E.F.Development of a high strength geopolymer by novel solar curing. Ceramics Int. 2017, 43(14), 11233- 11243.
- [36] Nongnuang, T.; Jitsangiam, P.; Rattanasak, U.; Chindaprasirt, P. Novel electromagnetic induction heat curing process of fly ash geopolymer using waste iron powder as a conductive material. Scientific Reports. 2022, 12(1), 9530.
- [37] Matsimbe, J.; Dinka, M.; Olukanni, D.; Musonda, I. Geopolymer: A systematic review of methodologies. Mater. 2022, 15(19), 6852.
- [38] Ojha, A. ; P. Aggarwal; L. Gupta Curing regimes effect on the strength parameters of geopolymer concrete: a short review, Advances in Sustainable Construct. Mater.: Select Proceedings of ASCM. 2021, 651-657.
- [39] Batouti, M.E.; Sadik, W.; Eldemerdash, A.G.; Hanafy, E.; Fetouh, H.A.New and innovative microwave-assisted technology for synthesis of guar gum-grafted acrylamide hydrogel superabsorbent for the removal of acid red 8 dye from industrial wastewater. Polymer Bulletin.2023, 80,4965-4989.
- [40] Luukkonen, T.; Abdollahnejad, Z.; Yliniemi, J.; Kinnunen, P.; Illikainen, M. One-part alkali-activated Mater.: A review. Cement and Concrete Research. 2018, 103, 21-34.
- [41] Ramesh, V.; Srikanth, K. Mechanical properties and mix design of geopolymer concrete–A review. In E3S web of conferences, EDP Sci.2020, 184, 01091.
- [42] Pavithra, P.; Reddy, M.S.; Dinakar, P.; Rao, B.H.; Satpathy, B.K.; Mohanty, A.N. A mix design procedure for geopolymer concrete with fly ash. J. cleaner Prod. 2016, 133, 117-125.
- [43] Li, N.; Shi C.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, H.; Liu, Y. A review on mixture design methods for geopolymer concrete. Composites Part B:. Eng. 2019. 178, 107490.
- [44] Luan, C. ; Shi, X.; Zhang, K.; Utashev, N.; Yang, F. ; Dai, J.; Wang, Q. A mix design method of fly ash geopolymer concrete based on factors analysis. Construct. and Build Mater.2021, 272, 121612.
- [45] Voss, M.; M. Kaufmann; T. Vallée (Curie-supported) accelerated curing by means of inductive heating–Part I: Model Build, J.Adhesion. 2022, 98, 1394-1437.
- [46] Bayerl, T.; Duhovic, M.; Mitschang, P.; Bhattacharyya, D.The heating of polymer composites by electromagnetic induction–A review, Composites Part A: Appl. Sci. and Manuf. 2014, 57, 27-40.
- [47] Xue, Y.; Wang, C.; Hu, Z. ; Zhou, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Wang, T.Pyrolysis of sewage sludge by electromagnetic induction: Biochar properties and application in adsorption removal of Pb (II), Cd (II) from aqueous solution. Waste Management. 2019, 89, 48-56.
- [48] Aleem, M.A.; P. Arumairaj, Geopolymer concrete–a review. Internationa.J. Eng. Sci.s & emerging technol. 2012, 1, 118-122..
- [49] Salam N.Hattawi; Ahmed G. Ahmed; Firas M. Fadhil; Stephen R. Kuot; Mai S Alsubaie, Mohammed L.Alazmi; H.A. Fetouh. New approach for processing chitosan as low cost protective hybrid coating for C-steel in acid media, PII. Heliyon. 2024, 10, e33743.
- [50] De Oliveira, L.B.; de Azevedo, A.R.; Marvila, M.T.; Pereira, E.C.; Fediuk, R.;Vieira, C.M.F. Durability of geopolymers with industrial waste. Case Studies in Construct. Mater. 2022, 16, p.e00839.
- [51] Archez, J.; Texier-Mandoki, N.; Bourbon, X.; Caron, J.F.; Rossignol, S. Shaping of geopolymer composites by 3D printing. J.Build Eng. 2021, 34, 01894.
- [52] Ryu, G.S.; Lee, Y.B.; Koh, K.T.; Chung, Y.S. The mechanical properties of fly ash-based geopolymer concrete with alkaline activators. Construct. and Build Mater.2013, 47, 409-418.
- [53] Singh, N.; B. Middendorf.Geopolymers as an alternative to Portland cement: An overview. Construct. and Build Mater. 2020, 237, 117455.
- [54] Duan, P.; Yan, C.; Zhou, W.; Luo, W.; Shen, C. An investigation of the microstructure and durability of a fluidized bed fly ash–metakaolin geopolymer after heat and acid exposure.Mater. & Design. 2015, 74, 125-137.
- [55] Zhang, P. ; Gao, Z. ; Wang, J. ; Guo, J. ; Hu, S. ; Ling, Y. Properties of fresh and hardened fly ash/slag based geopolymer concrete: A review. J.Cleaner Prod. 2020, 270, 122389.
- [56] Fang, G.; Ho, W.K.;Tu, W. ; Zhang, M. Workability and mechanical properties of alkali-activated fly ash-slag concrete cured at ambient temperature. Construct. and Build Mater. 2018, 172, 476-487.
- [57] Kumar, S.; R. Kumar; S. Mehrotra. Influence of granulated blast furnace slag on the reaction, structure and properties of fly ash based geopolymer. J.Mater. Sci. 2010, 45:, 607-615.
- [58] Dahou, Z.; Castel, A.; Noushini, A. Prediction of the steel-concrete bond strength from the compressive strength of Portland cement and geopolymer concretes. Construct. and Build Mater. 2016, 119, 29- 342.
- [59] Hu, X.; Peng, G.; Niu, D.; Wu, X. ; Zhang, L.Bond behavior between deformed steel bars and cementitious grout. Construct. and Build Mater. 2020, 262, 20810.
- [60] Miranda, M.P.; Morsch, I.B.; Brisotto, D.D.S.; Bittencourt, E. ; Carvalho, E.P. Steel-concrete bond behavior: An experimental and numerical study. Construct. and Build Mater.2021, 271, 121918.
- [61] Mohammed, B.S.; Haruna, S.; Wahab, M.M.A.; Liew, M.S.; Haruna, A. Mechanical and microstructural properties of high calcium fly ash one-part geopolymer cement made with granular activator. Heliyon. 2019, 5(9).
- [62] Yahya, Z.; Abdullah, M.M.A.B ; Talib, S.Z.A.; Razak, R.A.Comparative study on early strength of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) activated fly ash based geopolymer. In AIP Conference Proceedings, AIP Publishing. 2017, 1887.
- [63] Wang, Y.; Hu, S.; He, Z. Mechanical and fracture properties of fly ash geopolymer concrete addictive with calcium aluminate cement. Mater. 2019, 12, 2982.
- [64] Huo, W.; Zhu, Z.; Zhang, J.;Kang, Z., Pu, S.; Wan, Y. Utilization of OPC and FA to enhance reclaimed lime-fly ash macadam based geopolymers cured at ambient temperature. Construct. and Build Mater. 2021. 303,124378.
- [65] Song, W.; Zhu, Z. ; Peng, Y.; Wan, Y.; Xu, X.; Pu, S.; Song, S. ; Wei, Y. Effect of steel slag on fresh, hardened and microstructural properties of high-calcium fly ash based geopolymers at standard curing condition. Construct. and Build Mater. 2019, 229, 116933.
- [66] Wang, J.; Zheng, C.; Mo, L.; GangaRao, H.;Liang, R. Assessment of recycling use of GFRP powder as replacement of fly ash in geopolymer paste and concrete at ambient and high temperatures. Ceramics Int. 2022, 48,14076-14090.
- [67] Albitar, M.;, Ali, M.M.; Visintin, P.; Drechsler, M. Durability evaluation of geopolymer and conventional concretes. Construct. and Build Mater. 2017, 136, 374- 385.
- [68] Okoye, F.N.; Prakash, S.; Singh, N.B. Durability of fly ash based geopolymer concrete in the presence of silica fume. J.cleaner Prod. 2017, 149, 1062-1067.
- [69] Jiao, Z., Li, X.; Yu, Q. Effect of curing conditions on freeze-thaw resistance of geopolymer mortars containing various calcium resources. Construct. and Build Mater. 2021, 313, 125507.
- [70] Kumar, R. ;M. Verma, ;N. Dev. Investigation on the effect of seawater condition, sulphate attack, acid attack, freeze–thaw condition, and wetting–drying on the geopolymer concrete. Iranian J.Sci. and Technol.Transactions of Civil Eng.2021,1-31,
- [71] Amran, M.; Huang, S.S. ; Debbarma, S.; Rashid, R.S. Fire resistance of geopolymer concrete: A critical review. Construct. and Build Mater.2022, 324,126722.
- [72] Rickard, W.D.; C.S. Kealley; A. Van Riessen.Thermally induced microstructural changes in fly ash geopolymers: Experimental results and proposed model. J.Am. Ceramic Soc. 2015, 98, 929-939.
- [73] Si, C.; Yan, Z.G.; Xu, D.P. nfluencing factors and new developments of fly ash based geopolymer. Adv. Mater. Research.2014, 831, 62-66.
- [74] Aliabdo, A.A.; M. Abd Elmoaty; H.A. Salem.Effect of cement addition, solution resting time and curing characteristics on fly ash based geopolymer concrete performance. Construct. and Build Mater. 2016, 123, 581-593.
- [75] Liu, Y.; Shi, T. ; Zhao, Y. ; Gu, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Chen, J., Zheng, B.; Shi, S. Autogenous shrinkage and crack resistance of carbon nanotubes reinforced cement-based Mater. Int. J.Concrete Structures and Mater.2020, 14(1), 1-10.
- [76] Han, Q.; Zhang, P.; Wu, J.; Jing, Y.; Zhang, D.; Zhang, T. Comprehensive review of the properties of fly ashbased geopolymer with additive of nano-SiO2. Nanotechnol. Reviews.2022, 11, 1478-1498.
- [77] Zhuang, C. ; Y. Chen, The effect of nano-SiO2 on concrete properties: a review. Nanotechnol. Reviews. 2019, 8, 562-572.
- [78] Flores-Vivian, I.; Pradoto, R.G.; Moini, M.; Kozhukhova, M.; Potapov, V.; Sobolev, K. The effect of SiO2 nanoparticles derived from hydrothermal solutions on the performance of portland cement based Mater. Frontiers of Structural and Civil Eng. 2017, 11, 436-445.
- [79] Li, L.; Xuan, D.; Sojobi, A.O.; Liu, S.; Poon, C.S. Efficiencies of carbonation and nano silica treatment methods in enhancing the performance of recycled aggregate concrete. Construct. and Build Mater. 2021, 308, 25080.
- [80] Xie, T.; P. VisintinA unified approach for mix design of concrete containing supplementary cementitious Mater. based on reactivity moduli. J.Cleaner Prod.. 2018, 203: 68-82.
- [81] Xie, T.; Visintin, P.; Zhao, X.; Gravina, R.Mix design and mechanical properties of geopolymer and alkali activated concrete: Review of the state-of-the-art and the development of a new unified approach.Construct. and Build Mater.2020, 256, 119380.
- [82] Okoye, F.; J. Durgaprasad; N. Singh . Mechanical properties of alkali activated flyash/Kaolin based geopolymer concrete.Construct. and Build Mater. 2015, 98, 685-691.
- [83] Yuan, J.; He, P.; Jia, D.; Yang, C.; Yan, S.; Yang, Z.; Duan, X.; Wang, S.; Zhou, Y. Effect of curing temperature and SiO2/K2O molar ratio on the performance of metakaolin-based geopolymers.Ceramics Int. 2016, 42,16184-16190.
- [84] Graytee, A.; Sanjayan, J.G.; Nazari, A. Development of a high strength fly ash-based geopolymer in short time by using microwave curing.Ceramics Int.2018, 44, 8216- 8222.
- [85] Dong, M.; Feng, W.; Elchalakani, M.; Li, G.K.; Karrech, A.; May, E.F. Development of a high strength geopolymer by novel solar curing. Ceramics Int. 2017, 43,1233-11243.
- [86] Singh, N.B.; Middendorf, B. Geopolymers as an alternative to Portland cement: An overview. Construct. and Build Mater.2020, 237, 117455.
- [87] Al Bakri A. M.M.; Kamarudin, H.; Ismail, K.N.; Bnhussain, M.; Zarina, Y.; Rafiza, A.R.Correlation between Na2SiO3/NaOH ratio and fly ash/alkaline activator ratio to the strength of geopolymer. Adv. Mater. Research. 2012, 341, 189-193.
- [88] Temuujin, J.; R. Williams ; A.v. Van Riessen. Effect of mechanical activation of fly ash on the properties of geopolymer cured at ambient temperature. J.Mater. processing technol.2009, 209, 5276-5280.
- [89] Al Bakria, A.M.; Kamarudin, H.;, BinHussain, M.; Nizar, I.K.; Zarina, Y.; Rafiza, A.R. The effect of curing temperature on physical and chemical properties of geopolymers. Physics Procedia. 2011, 22, 286-291.
- [90] Duan, P.; Yan, C.; Zhou, W.; Luo, W.; Shen, C. An investigation of the microstructure and durability of a fluidized bed fly ash–metakaolin geopolymer after heat and acid exposure. Mater. & Design. 2015, 74, 125-137.
- [91] Gelardi, G.; Flatt, R.J. Working mechanisms of water reducers and superplasticizers. In Sci. and technology of concrete admixtures, Woodhead publishing. 2016, 257- 278).
- [92] Bong, S.H; Nematollahi, B.; Nazari, A.; Xia, M.; Sanjayan, J. Efficiency of different superplasticizers and retarders on properties of 'one-Part'Fly ash-slag blended geopolymers with different activators. Mater. 2019, 12, 3410.
- [93] Jithendra, C.; S. Elavenil. Role of superplasticizer on GGBS based Geopolymer concrete under ambient curing. Mater. Today: Proceedings. 2019, 18, 148-154.
- [94] Memon, F.A.; Nuruddin, M.F.; Demie, S.; Shafiq, N. Effect of superplasticizer and extra water on workability and compressive strength of self-compacting geopolymer concrete. Research J.Applied Sci. Eng. and Teuuchnol. 2012, 4, 407-414.
- [95] Demie, S.; Nuruddin, M.F.; Ahmed, M.F.; Shafiq, N.Effects of curing temperature and superplasticizer on workability and compressive strength of self-compacting geopolymer concrete. National Postgraduate Conference. IEEE. 2011, pp. 1-5.
- [96] Sashidhar, C.; Jawahar, J.G.; Neelima, C.; Kumar, D.P. Fresh and strength properties of self compacting geopolymer concrete using manufactured sand. Int. J. ChemTechol. Res.2015, 7, 183-190.
- [97] Ushaa, T.G.; Anuradha, R.; Venkatasubramani, G.S. Performance of self-compacting geopolymer concrete containing different mineral admixtures.2015, 22, 473- 481.
- [98] Pradhan, P.; Panda, S., Parhi, S.K.; Panigrahi, S.K. Factors affecting Prod. and properties of self-compacting geopolymer concrete-A review. Construct. and Build Mater. 2022, 344, 128174.
- [99] Singh, B.; Ishwarya, G.; Gupta, M.; Bhattacharyya, S.K.Geopolymer concrete: A review of some recent developments. Construct. and Build Mater. 2015, 85, 78- 90.
- [100]Muraleedharan, M.; Y. Nadir. Factors affecting the mechanical properties and microstructure of geopolymers from red mud and granite waste powder: A review. Ceramics Int.2021, 47(10), 13257-13279.
- [101]Wetzel, A.; B. Middendorf. Influence of silica fume on properties of fresh and hardened ultra-high performance concrete based on alkali-activated slag. Cement and Concrete composites.2019, 100, 53-59.
- [102]Ambily, P.S.; Ravisankar, K.; Umarani, C.; Dattatreya, J.K.; Iyer, N.R.Development of Ultra-High-Performance Geopolymer Concrete. Magazine of Concrete Research. 2014, 66(2), 82-89.
- [103]Manjunatha, M.; Seth, D.; Balaji, K.V.G.D.; Chilukoti, S. Influence of PVC waste powder and silica fume on strength and microstructure properties of concrete: An experimental study.Case Studies in Construct. Mater. 2021, 15, p.e00610.
- [104]Adak, D.; Sarkar, M.; Mandal, S., Structural performance of nano-silica modified fly-ash based geopolymer concrete. Construct. and Build Mater. 2017, 135, 430-439.
- [105]Qian, J.; Song, M. Study on influence of limestone powder on the fresh and hardened properties of early age metakaolin based geopolyme. In Calcined Clays for Sustainable Concrete: Proceedings of the 1st Int. Conference on Calcined Clays for Sustainable Concrete. 2015, 253-259. Springer Netherlands.
- [106]Bayiha, B.N.; Billong, N.; Yamb, E.; Kaze, R.C.; Nzengwa, R.Effect of limestone dosages on some properties of geopolymer from thermally activated halloysite. Construct. and Build Mater.2019, 217, 28-35.
- [107]Fetouh, H.A.; Ismail, A.M.; Hamid, H.A.; Bashier, M.O. Synthesis of promising nanocomposites from an antitumer and biologically active heterocyclic compound uploaded by clay and chitosan polymers. Int. J.biolog. macromolec. 2019, 137, 1211-1220.